Headlee Rollback and Headlee Override

Introduction

The term "Headlee Rollback" became part of municipal finance lexicon in 1978 with the passage of the Headlee Amendment to Michigan's Constitution. In a nutshell, Headlee requires a local unit of government to reduce its millage when annual growth on existing property is greater than the rate of inflation. As a consequence, the local unit's millage rate gets "rolled back" so that the resulting growth in property tax revenue, community-wide, is no more than the rate of inflation. A "Headlee override" is a vote by the electors to return the millage to the amount originally authorized via charter, state statute, or a vote of the people, and is necessary to counteract the effects of the "Headlee Rollback."

Impact of Headlee Amendment

Since the passage of the Headlee Amendment, units of government are required to annually calculate a Headlee rollback factor. The annual factor is then added to Headlee rollback factors determined in prior years resulting in a cumulative Headlee rollback factor sometimes referred to as the "millage reduction fraction." This total "millage reduction fraction" is then applied to the millage originally authorized by charter, state statute, or a vote of the people. In summary, the actual mills available to be levied by a unit of local government is the product of the authorized millage rate times the total millage reduction fraction. This is known as the "Headlee maximum allowable millage."

Impact of Proposal A

Prior to Proposal A legislation passed in 1994, local governments were allowed to "roll up" their millage rates when growth on existing property was less than inflation. "Roll ups" were a self-correcting mechanism that allowed local governments to naturally recapture taxing authority lost due to Headlee rollbacks in prior years. A local government could only "roll up" its millage rate to the amount originally authorized by charter, state statute, or a vote of the people.

Additions to taxable value (such as newly constructed property) are typically excluded (or exempt) from the Headlee roll back calculation. The 1994 General Property Tax Act changes did not specifically define "uncapped values" (increases resulting primarily from property transfers) as exempt.

Result

Although it might appear that a community with an annual increase in uncapped property values would benefit monetarily, uncapped values are treated as growth on existing property and trigger Headlee rollbacks. For local governments levying at their Headlee maximum authorized millage, rolling back the maximum authorized millage rate reduces the revenue that would have been generated from these increased property values. The increase in the taxable value of property not transferred is capped at the lesser of inflation or five percent. Even though the taxable value of a particular piece of property increases at the rate of inflation, the millage rate for the entire community is "rolled back" as a result of the increase in the total taxable value of the community. The net result—a less than inflationary increase in the actual dollars received from property taxes. Consequently, the 1994 change to the General Property Tax Act has prevented local governments from being able to share the benefits of any substantial market growth in existing property values.

Based on System Failure: Michigan's Broken Municipal Finance Model. Prepared for the Michigan Municipal League by Plante and Moran, PLLC, March, 2004